

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/077,962	Applicant(s) IMAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner Amir Alavi	Art Unit 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amir Alavi. (3) _____.

(2) Tomoki Tanida (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called Applicant's representative to indicate that the latest amendment received on, 28 July 2005, regarding claim 13, does not place the application in condition for allowance, since the amended feature, namely, "multi dimensional color space", is already addressed in the cited prior art and in fact we don't have any color spaces that are not multi dimensional. However, Applicant's representative decided it's better to respond in writing in the future correspondence, hence, this Office Action is made FINAL.